IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION.

WRIT PETITION NO.7355 OF 2004

Mr Amarsingh J. Jadhavrao, .. Petitioner

Vs

1. The State of Maharashtra & anr .. Respondents

Mr A.Y.Sakhare, senior counsel i/b Sarang Aradhye, for the petitioner.

Mr. A.P.Palekar, for the respondents.

CORAM : D.B.BHOSALE,J.

DATE : 13th September, 2004.

PC:

1. Heard the learned counsel for the parties.

2. In this petition, the petitioner has challenged the concurrent findings recorded by the Courts below, by which his application Exhibit-5 seeking injunction against the respondents has been rejected. That application was filed seeking injunction against the respondents, restraining them from withholding publication of the government advertisements in his newspaper by name "Rashtratej". It reveals from the pleadings and the impugned order that the government while taking the impugned action had followed the procedure as prescribed by the government policy. According to the respondents, the petitioner violated

the norms of the government policy while publishing the advertisements in his Daily. Keeping that in view and considering that there are concurrent findings recorded by the Courts below and as I find that there is sufficient material on record to sustain those findings and considering the extremely limited jurisdiction of this Court under Article 227 of the Constitution of India to disturb the findings of fact, the present writ petition cannot be entertained. The writ petition, therefore, fails and is dismissed as such.

(D.B.BHOSALE, J.)